

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**To:** [SizewellC](#)  
**Subject:** Sizewell C  
**Date:** 12 October 2021 23:49:33

---

Dear Sirs,

I wish to protest to the Inspectorate against the building of Sizewell C as outlined on the grounds that:

- a) The financial investment draws a tax burden either onto the general public via their fuel bills or will require significant investment from the Chinese government which may equally prove to be a burden or threat to the public good in future.
- b) The on-stream date for the version 'C' is so late in the calendar of energy needs as to be less than useful - progress with other energies from 'green' or more ecologically sound sources will gain more traction in the shorter term.
- c) It will be more critically important to invest in the proposal of creating a skeleton of smaller, linked mini-reactors that give more flexibility in fine-tuning energies in the future.
- d) Most importantly the damage that will be inflicted in invading the local environment will be incalculable. Along with the RSPB, Wildlife Groups and Nature England I am absolutely convinced that this will be disastrous for wildlife - the argument for biodiversity in England going forward is so important. It takes decades to establish active biomes and the invasion and destruction of landscape and marine environmental habitats and food chains will be irreparable.
- e) The local economy is sustained by tourism - tourists who come to enjoy the natural environment, be it in heathland, forest, farmland and coastal districts, the disruption to these will be incalculable and the loss of tourist trade cannot be replaced by imported site labour as a long term source of income.
- f) Invasion of the artistic landscape of the area. It is impossible to summarise the long term importance of the artistic colonies of the Suffolk countryside for supporting the richness of artistic diversity of this country. The area has provided boltholes for artists in music, painting and literature; from Benjamin Britten to Damien Hurst, Maggi Hambling to Melissa Harrison - the list is endless. The art and heritage produced here is second only to the colonies around St Ives, Cornwall and the disruption to this artistic environment will leave us so much poorer. Their choice to live and work here is a direct response to quiet roads and cheaper housing - this will disappear under the pressure of imported populations of workforce and transport disruption. Similarly the tourist revenues brought to Suffolk through centres such as Snape Maltings will fall significantly.

I am not actually a non-supporter of nuclear energy in the big picture, however, I think this expansion of the Sizewell nuclear project is misguided. I do not touch upon coastal erosion or the insufficiencies of water or transport options into the area, other people will no doubt describe these. I would urge the Inspectorate to take a step back and look not merely at the specific Sizewell project in Suffolk but at the larger project of developing energies in Britain for the future, drawing on those new British technologies being developed by the likes of Rolls Royce. I would posit that raising investment funds for a more British based outcome will have a far healthier reception.

Yours sincerely,

Anna Wakerley

Resident in Aldeburgh.